EXAMPLES OF SEMIALGEBRAIC CONVEX BODIES WITH NON-SEMIALGEBRAIC INTERSECTION BODY

FULVIO GESMUNDO

ABSTRACT. We present an explicit construction of a semialgebraic convex body whose intersection body is not semialgebraic.

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body. The intersection body IK of K is the star-body defined by the radial function

$$\rho_{\mathrm{IK}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$u \mapsto \frac{1}{\|u\|} \mathrm{vol}_{n-1}(u^{\perp} \cap K)$$

Intersection bodies are studied in convex geometry and play a major role in the study of the Busemann-Petty problem [Lut88, Gar94, GKS99]. We refer to [Gar95] for the theory.

Convex algebraic geometry is interested in the study of geometric features of semialgebraic convex bodies. In particular, a question of interest concerns whether the intersection body of a semialgebraic convex body is semialgebraic. It is known that this is the case for convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^2 [Gar95, Thm. 8.1.4] and for polytopes in any dimension [BBMS22]. In this note, we provide examples showing that in \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 3$ there are examples of convex bodies whose intersection body is not-semialgebraic.

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a connected open set. Let $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say that F is algebraic if $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is solution of a non-trivial univariate polynomial equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Notice that if F is algebraic and $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear space, then $F|_{L \cap \Omega}$ is algebraic as well. If F is not algebraic, we say that it is transcendental.

We point out that composition of algebraic functions is algebraic. Moreover, if $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is transcendental and $G : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is algebraic and non-constant, then $F \circ G : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is transcendental.

A consequence of the Projection Theorem for semialgebraic sets [BCR13, Sec. 2.2] is that a convex body is semialgebraic if and only if its support function, and equivalently its radial function are semialgebraic functions, in the sense that their graph is a semialgebraic set. In particular, if a convex body is semialgebraic, a consequence of the implicit function theorem is that the restriction of its radial function to any linear space is (locally on a dense open set) an algebraic function.

Given a function $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, define

$$K_f = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 \le f(z)^2, 0 \le x \le 1 - z\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3.$$



Figure 1. Example of convex body K_f . The intersection of K_f with the vertical plane $\{z = z_0\}$ is a section of a disc of radius $f(z_0)$ for $z_0 \in [0, 1]$.

If f is concave and positive, then K_f is a convex body. Moreover, if f is algebraic, then K_f is semialgebraic. The main result of this note is the following:

Theorem 1. If $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is an algebraic function such that the integral function $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$ is transcendental, then IK_f is not semialgebraic.

Proof. We consider the restriction of the radial function of IK_f to the pencil of hyperplanes of the form

$$H_{\alpha} = \left\{ \eta \left(\begin{array}{c} \cos(\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha) \\ 0 \end{array} \right) + \zeta \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) : (\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

Let $\varphi_\alpha:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^3$ be the isometric parametrization

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(\eta,\zeta) = \eta \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \zeta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of H_{α} . It suffices to show that the function

$$\operatorname{vol}_2(H_\alpha \cap K_f) = \operatorname{vol}_2(\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(K_f))$$

is a transcendental function of $\cos(\alpha)$ (or equivalently $\sin(\alpha)$).

One can verify that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(K_f) = \left\{ (\eta, \zeta) : \eta \le f(\zeta), 0 \le \eta \le \frac{1-\zeta}{\cos(\alpha)} \right\}$$

In other words, $\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(K_f)$ is the convex region below the graph of the function

$$g_{\alpha}: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$\zeta \mapsto \begin{cases} f(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta \leq \zeta_{\alpha} \\ \frac{1-\zeta}{\cos(\alpha)} & \text{if } \zeta \geq \zeta_{\alpha}, \end{cases}$$



Figure 2. The linear cut with the hyperplane H_{α} and the resulting convex body $\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(K_f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. The peak is at $\zeta = \zeta_{\alpha}$.

where ζ_{α} is the unique value in [0, 1] such that $f(\zeta_{\alpha}) = \frac{1-\zeta_{\alpha}}{\cos(\alpha)}$. In particular ζ_{α} is an algebraic function of $\cos(\alpha)$ because f is algebraic.

We obtain

$$\operatorname{vol}_{2}(H_{\alpha} \cap K_{f}) = \operatorname{vol}_{2}(\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(K_{f})) = \int_{0}^{1} g_{\alpha}(\eta) d\eta =$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\zeta_{\alpha}} f(\eta) d\eta + \int_{\zeta_{\alpha}}^{1} \frac{1-\eta}{\cos(\alpha)} d\eta = F(\zeta_{\alpha}) + G(\zeta_{\alpha})$$

Notice that $F(\zeta_{\alpha})$ is a transcendental function of $\cos(\alpha)$, because F is transcendental and ζ_{α} is algebraic. On the other hand $G(\zeta_{\alpha})$ is an algebraic function of $\cos(\alpha)$.

We deduce that $\operatorname{vol}_2(H_\alpha \cap K_f)$ is a transcendental function of $\cos(\alpha)$ and this concludes the proof.

We record the following consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. For every $n \geq 3$, there exists a semialgebraic convex body $K \geq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the intersection body IK is not semialgebraic.

Proof. Theorem 1 provides examples for n = 3. In general, it suffices to consider $K_f \times [0,1]^{\times (n-3)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

References

- [BBMS22] K. Berlow, M.-C. Brandenburg, C. Meroni, and I. Shankar, Intersection bodies of polytopes, Beit. Algebra Geom. (2022), 1–21.
- [BCR13] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, vol. 36, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Gar94] R. J. Gardner, Intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), no. 1, 435445.

- [Gar95] _____, Geometric tomography, vol. 6, Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1995.
- [GKS99] R. J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky, and T. Schlumprecht, An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Annals of Mathematics (1999), 691–703.
- [Lut88] E. Lutwak, Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes, Adv. Math. 71 (1988), no. 2, 232–261.

(Fulvio Gesmundo) SAARLAND UNIVERSITY, SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{gesmundo@cs.uni-saarland.de}$

4